arXiv:1602.01797v1 [astro-ph.SR] 4 Feb 2016

Resolved Companions of Cepheids: Testing the Candidates with X-Ray Observations[1]

Nancy Remage Evans,[1] Ignazio Pillitteri,[1,2] Scott Wolk,[1] Margarita Karovska,[1] Evan Tingle,[1] Edward Guinan,[3] Scott Engle,[3] Howard E. Bond,[4,5] Gail H. Schaefer,[6] and Brian D. Mason[7]

Received _____; accepted _____

[1]Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, MS 4, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138; nevans@cfa.harvard.edu

[2]INAF-Osservatorio di Palermo, Piazza del Parlamento 1, I-90134 Palermo, Italy

[3]Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Ave., Villanova, PA, 19085, USA

[4]Dept. of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802; heb11@psu.edu

[5]Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218

[6]The CHARA Array of Georgia State University, Mount Wilson, California 91023, USA; schaefer@chara-array.org

[7]US Naval Observatory, 3450 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20392-5420

ABSTRACT

We have made *XMM-Newton* observations of 14 Galactic Cepheids that have candidate resolved ($\geq 5''$) companion stars based on our earlier *HST* WFC3 imaging survey. Main-sequence stars that are young enough to be physical companions of Cepheids are expected to be strong X-ray producers in contrast to field stars. *XMM-Newton* exposures were set to detect essentially all companions hotter than spectral type M0 (corresponding to 0.5 $M_{\odot}$.) The large majority of our candidate companions were not detected in X-rays, and hence are not confirmed as young companions. One resolved candidate (S Nor #4) was unambiguously detected, but the Cepheid is a member of a populous cluster. For this reason, it is likely that S Nor #4 is a cluster member rather than a gravitationally bound companion. Two further Cepheids (S Mus and R Cru) have X-ray emission that might be produced by either the Cepheid or the candidate resolved companion. A subsequent *Chandra* observation of S Mus shows that the X-rays are at the location of the Cepheid/spectroscopic binary. R Cru and also V659 Cen (also X-ray bright) have possible companions closer than $5''$ (the limit for this study) which are the likely source of X-rays. One final X-ray detection (V473 Lyr) has no known optical companion, so the prime suspect is the Cepheid itself. It is a unique Cepheid with a variable amplitude.

The 14 stars that we observed with *XMM* constitute 36% of the 39 Cepheids found to have candidate companions in our *HST*/WFC3 optical survey. No young probable binary companions were found with separations of $\geq 5''$ or 4000 AU.

Subject headings: stars: binaries — stars: massive — stars: formation — stars: variable: Cepheids

1. Introduction

Binary/multiple configurations influence every phase of a star's life: formation, the main sequence, and the post-main sequence, and often drastically affect the outcomes. For instance, Kraus et al. (2012) find that binaries with intermediate separations (40 AU) are less likely to have protoplanetary disks than both closer and wider binaries, and hence are less likely to form planets. For main-sequence stars, Sana et al. (2012) recently showed that more than 70% of O stars ($\geq 20\,M_{\odot}$) are destined to undergo binary interactions with mass exchange, and a third of those undergo mergers. At later stages, many classes of X-ray sources have scenarios involving binaries containing a compact object (supernovae progenitors, X-ray binaries, novae, cataclysmic variables, and symbiotic stars). However, there are many unanswered questions about how these configurations are achieved.

Technical developments in recent years in both radial velocities and imaging have contributed greatly to the studies of binary/multiple stars. For massive stars, the velocity study of O stars in the 30 Dor (Tarantula Nebula) in the LMC (Sana et al. 2013) is of particular importance. It confirmed the large fraction of binaries, and demonstrates the importance of interaction inat the their evolution (above; Sana et al. 2012). A comparable velocity survey was done on the B stars in the Tarantula Nebula (Dunstall et al. 2015), who concluded that the multiplicity properties of the B stars are essentially the same as for the O stars. An extensive high-angular-resolution study of O stars was made at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) and the Very Large Telescope Interferometer (VLTI) which covers separations from 0.5 to 10^4 mas (1 to 20,000 AU at a typical distance of 2 kpc; Sana et al. 2014). Of particular importance was filling the gap between spectroscopic binaries and visual binaries which had been found by Mason et al. (1998). A further survey was made

[1]Based on observations obtained with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and the USA (NASA).

by Aldoretto et al. (2015), of O and B stars using the *HST* Fine Guidance Sensor (FGS). A summary of previous studies of binarity/multiplicity in massive stars can be found in Evans et al. (2013) and Evans et al. (2016a).

Binary/multiple properties reflect the processes of star formation in their extent and mass ratios. The formation of wide binaries (1000 AU or greater) is a problem for star formation, since this is larger than the typical size of a star-forming core. Several mechanisms have been suggested to get around this problem. One is the "unfolding" of a triple system. In a triple which is dynamically unstable, one component (typically the smallest) may be sent to a wider orbit (Reipurth & Mikkola 2012). Another mechanism is the acquisition of a third star during cluster dissolution (Kouwenhoven et al. 2010). Parker & Meyer (2014) concluded that over time the reduction of triple systems through disruption is balanced by acquisition of another star, keeping the binary fraction relatively constant.

The stars targeted in the present study are wide resolved companions. Within such systems there is ample room for a close binary, and hence wide companions are candidates for triple systems. In the same way that early exoplanet discoveries taught us the importance of migration, analysis of multiple systems points to *dynamical evolution* in which a third star plays a special role. One example of the consequences of a triple system is that spectroscopic binaries with $P < 3$ d are much more likely to have a third component than those with $P > 12$ d (Tokovinin et al. 2006). This implies that dynamical evolution has shortened the periods of the first group. Conservation of total system angular momentum requires that the wider tertiary components move to wider separations. Scenarios involving a triple system have also been proposed to explain close eccentric binaries (Perets & Kratter 2012) and close binaries with small mass ratios (Moe & Di Stefano 2015), both required for exotic end-stage objects.

The present study is Paper IV in a series aimed at determining the binary/multiple

properties of Cepheids. They are reasonably massive stars (typically 4 to 8 $M_{\odot}$ stars, abbreviated to 6 $M\odot$ below) in a well-known evolutionary state with well-studied distances and reddenings. This study builds on a *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) imaging survey of 70 Cepheids. Evans et al. (2013; Paper I) demonstrated the technique using Cepheids with reasonably massive companions ($q = M_2/M_1 \geq 0.4$). In Papers II and III (Evans et al. 2016a and 2016b), we used the WFC3 images in the F621M and F845M filters to identify candidate resolved companions as close as approximately 0.″5. With this we can identify main-sequence companions typically as close as 300 AU. Paper II discusses possible companions $\geq$ 5" from the Cepheid; Paper III discusses closer companions identified after point spread function (psf) correction.

Possible resolved companions were identified in Paper II as follows. A color-magnitude (CMD) was formed for stars from the F621M and (F621M–F845M) data, which transform well to V and (V-I). This was compared with an isochrone at the distance and with the reddening of the Cepheid (see Paper II). Stars in the field within 2 σ of the isochrone with colors hotter than M stars were considered candidate resolved companions, and are listed in Paper II.

Identification of resolved companions, particularly low-mass stars, is plagued by contamination from galactic field stars. However, resolved companions which are genuine physical companions of Cepheids (typically 50 Myr old) will be young. Stars this young are active X-ray producers as compared with old field stars. In the present study, we have observed a number of possible resolved companions from the *HST* survey with the *XMM-Newton* X-ray satellite (*XMM*) to identify X-ray active young stars, likely to be genuine bound companions.

Thus, the aim of this study is to identify the widest companions of Cepheids down to very small masses. One goal is to determine the maximum separation which set by star

formation plus subsequent dynamical evolution. A second aim is related to the fact that a wide system may easily contain a closer binary, at least in the main-sequence phase. A wide companion certainly does not guarantee a three-star system, but it is a flag that this may be the case. As discussed above this opens a number of possibilities for its evolution.

The subsequent sections in this paper discuss the observations and data analysis (§2), the resolved companions, upper limits and detections (§3), results (§4), discussion (§5), and a summary.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

Our *XMM* target list was selected from a compilation of possible resolved companions found in our *HST* optical imaging survey. Discussion of the results of the survey is done in two parts, determined by data-reduction challenges (Papers II and III). Possible companions at $\geq 5''$ are good targets for *XMM* imaging, since this is approximately the size of the *XMM* psf. Specifically, our target list was drawn from Table A1 in Paper II of such candidates, which would be resolvable by *XMM*. Table 1 lists the observed Cepheids, the date of observation and UT at the beginning of the integration, the exposure duration for the MOS1 CCD camera, the reddening and distance (from Paper II), and the *XMM* filter used. In addition to *XMM* observations made for this study, two observations were obtained from the *XMM* archive: U Sgr (PI: Motch) and ℓ Car (PI: Guinan). Of the 39 Cepheids with candidate resolved companions $\geq 5''$ (from the 70 WFC3 observations), altogether 14 of them (36%) were observed with *XMM*.

Data analysis was carried out as in Pillitteri et al. (2013), using standard *XMM* data reduction (SAS) tasks to filter events so that events in the band 0.3 to 8.0 keV were used. Only good time intervals were included and high-background intervals were removed.

Source detection and upper-limit calculation were done using a wavelet deconvolution algorithm, as implemented in the code originally written for *ROSAT* images (Damiani et al. 1997a, 1997b) and adapted for *XMM* images.

3. Candidate Resolved Companions

The results of our *XMM* observations of possible resolved companions are summarized in Table 2. The successive columns are: the companion identification number for each Cepheid, V and $V - I$ from Paper II, the separation in arcsec, the position angle, the separation converted to AU using the distance from Table 1, the J2000 RA and Dec of the companion, and whether an X-ray source was detected at that location (Y/N/?). The coordinates were measured from the WFC3 images.

In only three cases (S Mus, R Cru, and S Nor #4) is there possible X-ray flux at the location of the resolved companion, as shown in Fig 1, Fig 2, and Fig 3. In two further cases (V659 Cen and V473 Lyr) a source was detected at a position indistinguishable from the Cepheid itself. These will be discussed in §3.2.

3.1. Detection Upper Limits

The *XMM* exposure times were set with the aim of detecting main-sequence companions as cool as spectral type K, which would have $\log L_X$ of 29.2 erg sec^{-1} or greater (at the distance and with the reddening of each Cepheid). X-ray fluxes for M dwarfs become much fainter as temperature decreases, and exposure times become much longer. X-ray flux, of course, depends on the age of the stars. The α Per cluster is approximately 50 Myr old, making it an appropriate comparison for Cepheids which have about the same age. *ROSAT* observations of the cluster were discussed by Randich et al. (1996). At approximately this

limit, they detected 88% of K stars in their list. Pillitteri et al. (2003) use *ROSAT* data to obtain the X-ray luminosity distributions of G, K, and M stars for the α Per cluster. The X-ray detection rate at $\log L_X$ of 29.2 erg sec^{-1} is 80-90% for G and K stars, but falls off for M dwarfs. A deeper *XMM* observation of the α Per cluster was discussed by Pillitteri et al. (2013). Their luminosity function found a similar detection rate. (Note that the relevant bin in there discussion includes early M stars as well as G and K stars). Because of the dependence of X-ray flux on both age and spectral type, and, of course, the X-ray variability cycles of late-type stars, there is some imprecision in the estimate. However, this luminosity limit should ensure that most late-type stars through the K range (to 0.5 $M_\odot$) will be detected.

To quantify the non-detections in Table 2, we have computed upper limits at the positions of each of the candidate companions. Since we know the location of the possible companion stars, we used a 3σ detection limit for the upper limit. We ran the wavelet algorithm with this threshold to determine the level of count rates for a point-like source at the positions of the Cepheid companions. Table 3 (Col. 3) lists the upper limit. The detections and upper limits were obtained using both MOS and pn images, using the standard relative efficiency MOS/pn cameras of 800/260 from the effective areas in the appropriate energy range. For V473 Lyr the count-rate limit is measured slightly further from the Cepheid than the position shown in Fig. 5, to decrease flux from the position of the Cepheid (although there is still likely to be some contribution from the source at the Cepheid position). The ratio of N_H to $E(B-V)$ used to derive the column densities in Col. 4 was 5.9×10^{21}cm^{2}mag^{-1} . The corresponding flux (Col. 5) was generated for this N_H using an APEC plasma emission code in the PIMMS flux calculation software [2] with $\log T = 7.0$ and solar abundance for XMM using the filter in Table 1. The upper-limit

[2]`http://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/pimms.jsp`

X-ray luminosity (Col. 6) was then derived using the distance.

In summary, most of the upper limits (Table 3) are close to the goal of $\log L_X$ of 29.2 erg s^{-1}, showing that we should have detected most of the late F, G, and K stars which are young and at the distance of the Cepheids.

3.2. X-ray Detections

The candidate optical companions targeted by our *XMM* observations are $\geq 5''$ from the Cepheid, allowing us to avoid confusion with X-ray emission from the Cepheid itself. In five cases, we detected an X-ray source of which four are at or near the Cepheid itself. These detections are listed in Table 4, which gives fluxes, luminosities, and comments about the sources. The detections of each source are discussed below. For comparison, several Cepheids (Polaris, δ Cep, and β Dor) have been detected in X rays with typical luminosities of $\log L_X = 28.6$–29.0 erg s^{-1}. The *XMM* observation of β Dor has a $\log L_X$ of 29.0 ergs s^{-1}, and a soft spectrum (Engle et al. 2009; Engle et al. 2014). Polaris was observed with *Chandra* (Evans et al. 2010) and has $\log L_X = 28.9$ erg s^{-1}, and also a soft spectrum. As they discussed, the interpretation is complicated by the fact that Polaris has a low-mass companion which could also produce or contribute to the X-ray flux. δ Cep itself has *XMM* observations at several phases. The flux is reasonably constant at a luminosity of about $\log L_X = 28.6$ erg s^{-1}. One point is significantly brighter, suggesting variability with phase, which would indicate that the flux is produced by the Cepheid. However, recently high-accuracy radial velocities show low-level orbital motion, consistent with a low-mass companion (Anderson et al. 2015). As with Polaris, the companion could contribute some or all the X-ray flux. The luminosities of these three systems provide either detections or upper limits to the X-ray luminosity of the Cepheid itself, which is below the luminosities in Table 4

In this section we discuss each of the *XMM* detections in Table 4.

S Mus: A possible companion of S Mus lies within the psf of the *XMM* image (Fig 1). From the image we cannot tell whether the X-rays come from the companion or from the Cepheid itself. We have recently obtained an observation of S Mus with the *Chandra* X-ray satellite. From the image showing that the X-rays are not produced by the resolved companion, but from the location of the Cepheid. Full discussion of the results is in preparation. S Mus is a well-known binary system with a period of 1.38 years (Evans et al. 2006, and references therein). Velocities of the hot companion have been measured in the ultraviolet (Böhm-Vitense et al. 1997) and show no sign that the companion is itself a binary. The companion has a spectral type of B3 V, and is the one companion among the XMM observations hot enough that it could produce X-rays itself, which seems the most likely source of the X-rays.

R Cru: As with S Mus, the *XMM* image (Fig 2) cannot determine whether the X-rays come from the close (8″) companion or the Cepheid. In addition, in Paper III, we find a possible companion 1.″9 from the Cepheid. Either of the companions or the Cepheid could produce the X-rays. Fig. 2 also shows that there is a small brightening in X-rays at the position of the resolved companion to the right of the Cepheid. We have examined that area carefully, and there is *not* a significant source at that location, but rather a fluctuation similar to other background fluctuations apparent in the figure.

V659 Cen: None of the five resolved possible companions in Fig 4 are coincident with an X-ray source. However, in Paper III, there is a possible companion at about 0.″7 from the Cepheid. Either it or the Cepheid could produce the detection.

V473 Lyr: The X-rays in Fig 5 come from the vicinity of the Cepheid rather than the possible companion (separated by 15″). This raises the question whether there is a closer low-mass companion which could produce the X-rays. There are considerable data

which address this topic. In Paper III there is no sign of a close companion. Conclusions from velocities are tricky because of the variable pulsation amplitude. The star has been discussed recently by Molnar & Szabados (2014), who conclude that it does not show binary motion, based largely on the 5 years of velocity data from Burki (1984). A binary companion can never be completely ruled out, but there is no evidence for one from either velocities or imaging. Thus, the Cepheid itself is a possible source. This star is one of the most unusual Cepheids known, unique among classical Cepheids in having a large-scale amplitude variation, resembling the Blazhko variations in RR Lyrae stars.

S Nor #4: This is the only X-ray source that is unequivocally from the resolved companion (Fig 3). However, since the Cepheid is a member of a populous open cluster, there is a significant chance of an alignment with a cluster star.

In Table 4 we include the median energy for each of the detections. The sources on the images are relatively weak, so full spectral analysis is not feasible. However, the median energy provides information which can help distinguish between a low-mass star and a cool supergiant, which has a softer spectrum. As an example, see Fig. 3 in Evans et al. (2010), which compares the Polaris spectrum with the stacked spectrum from Orion Nebula low-mass stars (Feigelson et al. 2005). The low-mass stars have a sharp energy peak at 1 keV, with a tail extending out to 2 keV. Stars with earlier spectral type (as well as older stars), including the Polaris system, have energy peaks at about 0.9 keV.

The combination of the median energy values and L_X in Table 4 provides the following information about the X-ray sources. S Nor #4 is the only undisputed X-ray source resolved from the Cepheid. Its median energy (0.95 keV) and L_X are consistent with a low-mass star. In fact, the L_X for all the detected sources is consistent with low-mass stars, but S Mus is the outlyer in the high end. For the four systems where the position of the X-ray source is indistinguishable from the Cepheid, R Cru is the star with the highest median

energy (1.24 keV). This is a strong indication that the X-rays are produced by the close ($1\farcs9$) late-type companion with a harder spectrum than a supergiant. On the other hand, the much softer median energy for V473 Lyr points to either the Cepheid itself or an as yet unknown companion earlier than G spectral type. For V659 Cen a low-mass companion is consistent with both the median energy and L_X, and is there other evidence of such a companion. For S Mus, the median energy and L_X are consistent with X-rays produced by shocks in an outflowing wind, as might be produced in the hot companion (see, for example, Stelzer, et al. 2005)

Only the S Mus source has enough counts to make it reasonable to look at the spectrum (Fig. 6). Although the counts warrant only crude energy bins, energy maximum is clear. The resulting energy from the fit ($kT = 0.64 \pm 0.14$ keV) is consistent with a low-mass star, although the N_H ($(5 \pm 2) \times 10^{21}$ cm^{-2}) and hence the unabsorbed flux (6.3×10^{-14} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} in the 0.3-8.0 keV band) is larger than that in Table 4.

In summary, of the five *XMM* detections, two stars (R Cru and V659 Cen) have a close companion ($<2''$ from the Cepheid) which are the most likely sources of the X-rays. For S Mus), the working model is that the X-rays are probably produced by the B3 companion. For S Nor, the late-type resolved companion is detected, though whether it is gravitationally bound to the Cepheid or a cluster member is unknown. For the final detection, V473 Lyr, the only candidate for the X-ray source appears to be the Cepheid.

We stress that the main goal of this study was to search for X-rays from young low-mass stars to identify Cepheid companions. These are coronal stars, for which the X-ray properties have been well studied. The mechanism for producing X-rays in Cepheids themselves is not well understood. They are also coronal stars. One possible mechanism is pulsation-driven shocks, as discussed by Engle, et al. (2014). Another possibility is collisionless shocks (Ruby, et al. 2016).

4. Results

The distribution of separations for the possible companions investigated in Table 2 is shown in Fig 7. Appropriate to *XMM*'s spatial resolution, the Cepheids observed all have possible companions at separations $\geq 5''$. In Fig 7, the optically resolved candidate companions are overwhelmingly **not** found to have the X-ray strength expected for a low-mass star about 50 Myr old. The star which is the exception to the finding that there are **no** companions in the survey at greater distances than 8000 AU is the companion to S Nor. This is one of two stars in the survey (S Nor and U Sgr) which is a member of a populous open cluster. This increases the likelihood of a chance alignment with a cluster member. We thus consider the S Nor #4 companion at a projected separation of 13,300 AU, to be an outlier, and most likely not to be gravitationally bound to the Cepheid.

The *HST* WFC3 survey covered 70 Cepheids, of which 39 were found to have possible resolved companions with separations $\geq 5''$. We observed 14 (36%) of the possible companions with *XMM* and found no young stars (with the exception of S Nor #4, which is most probably a cluster member). Thus, we have found no probable resolved companions with separations larger than 3950 AU (S Mus, Table 2).

5. Discussion

Systems containing Cepheids have undergone several periods of reorganization. As massive stars they are highly likely to be found in binary or multiple systems. Much of the dynamical adjustment from the initial configuration in a system of three or more members happens quickly and many will have already produced a hierarchical system by the time the primary reaches the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS). As stressed above, however, triple (and higher) systems can still evolve dynamically. This can result in the ejection of a star

(typically the least massive) from the system.

After the ZAMS, since Cepheids are post-red-giant stars, very close binaries will have undergone Roche-lobe overflow. In extreme cases this results in mergers. Cepheids which began life as B stars have in some cases had their binary properties altered in this way, since there are no Cepheid binaries with shorter periods than a year in the Milky Way (Sugars & Evans 1996)

We stress three features of the current investigation which contribute to the understanding of binary/multiple properties in fairly massive stars (typically $6\,M_{\odot}$). First, we have typically searched an area as wide as the canonical 0.1 pc (20,000 AU) in our *HST* images for possible companions, which is the extent expected for which physical companions. Second, the *XMM* observations provide a severe winnowing of the candidate list, and an important constraint on the extent of bound companions. Third, the magnitude difference between the Cepheid and a possible companion can be more than $\Delta V = 10$ mag, including companions through K stars. A late K star would have a mass ratio of 0.1 with a $6\,M_{\odot}$ Cepheid.

Full discussion of the results of closer companion will be provided in Paper III; however we will make a preliminary comparison with other recent results, particularly of the extent of wide systems. The recent survey of resolved O star companions (Sana et al. 2014; SMASH) plans a further analysis of binary properties; however, a preliminary comparison with their results is warranted at this stage. The VLT and VLTI instruments they used cover from 0.5 through 10^4 mas, (1 AU to 0.1 pc at the typical distance of their O stars of 2 kpc). (The typical distance in our Cepheid survey is 700 pc). It is only their widest separations which are comparable to the Cepheids discussed here. An interesting change occurs for their detections at about $1''$. The magnitude differences are larger (up to 8 mag in H); however while there are many comparatively faint companions, the number

of bright companions drops off as compared with closer regions. Indeed, their probability analysis also concluded that the low-mass companions at separations $\geq 2''$ are not physical companions. For a $20\,M_{\odot}$ system, the separation of $\sim$2000 AU where this happens is similar to the widest separation we find.

Tokovinin et al. (2006) made a survey of 165 solar-type spectroscopic binaries to search for wider components. They noted a decrease in third components at periods $>10^5$ years ($\simeq$ 3000 AU). In a more recent distance-limited survey of solar-mass stars, Tokovinin (2014) finds a decrease in the widest companions at about the same period. A similar result was found by Raghavan et al. (2010), also for solar-mass stars.

6. Summary

We discuss *XMM* observations of possible resolved companions of Cepheids from an *HST* WFC3 survey. X-ray observations differentiate between young, low-mass stars (physical companions of Cepheids) and old field stars.

• Of the possible resolved companions, only one was unambiguously detected, implying that it is a young star. The Cepheid involved is S Nor #4, which is a member of a well-populated cluster. For this reason, and because the companion is separated from the Cepheid by as much as $15''$ (13,300 AU), it is likely that this is a cluster member rather than a gravitationally bound companion.

• Two of the Cepheids (S Mus and R Cru) have an X-ray source which is not resolved from the Cepheid on the *XMM* images. However, on a *Chandra* image of S Mus, the X-rays are shown to be produced by the Cepheid/spectroscopic binary.

• R Cru and also V659 Cen have additional companions closer than the limit of $5''$ for this study, which are the likely X-ray sources (to be discussed in Paper III).

• One final X-ray detection (V473 Lyr) has no known companion; hence the prime suspect is the Cepheid itself. It is a unique Cepheid with a variable amplitude.

• The 14 stars observed with *XMM* constitute 36% of the candidate companions. None were found to be young likely physical companions with a separation $\geq 5''$ or wider than a projected separation of 4000 AU (assuming that S Nor #4 is a cluster member).

The full binary frequency will be discussed in Paper III including the entire *HST* WFC3 survey.

Support for this work was also provided from the *Chandra* X-ray Center NASA Contract NAS8-03060. Funding was provided from HST GO-13369.001-A (to NRE and IP). Vizier and SIMBAD were used in the preparation of this study. Comments from an anonymous referee improved the clarity of the presentation.

REFERENCES

Aldoretto, E. J., Caballero-Nieves, S. M., Gies, D. R., et al. 2015, AJ, 149, 26

Anderson, R. I., Sahlmann, J., Holl, B., Eyer, L., Palaversa, L., Mowlavi, N., Sueveges, and Roelens, M. 2015, ApJ, 804, 144

Böhm-Vitense, E., Evans, N. R., Carpenter, K., Beck-Winchatz, B., and Robinson, R. 1997, ApJ, 477, 916

Burki, G. 1984, IAUS 105, 453

Damiani, F., Maggio, A., Micela, G., and Sciortino, S. 1997a, ApJ, 483, 350

Damiani, F., Maggio, A., Micela, G., and Sciortino, S. 1997b, ApJ,483, 370

Dunstall, P. R., Dufton, P. L,. Sana, H., et al 2015, A&A, 580, 93

Engle, S. G., Guinan, E. F., DePasquale, J., and Evans, N. 2009, AIP Conf Proc., 1135, 192.

Engle, S. G., Guinan,E. F., Harper, G. H., Neilson, H. R. and Evans, N. R. 2014, ApJ 794, 80

Evans, N. R., Massa, D., Fullerton, A., Sonneborn, G., and Iping, R. 2006, AJ, 647, 1387

Evans, N. R., Guinan, E., Engle, S., Wolk, S. J., Schelgel, E., Mason, B. D., Karovska, M., and Spitzbart, B. 2010, AJ, 139, 1968

Evans, N. R., Bond, H. E.,Schaefer, G. H. et al. 2013, AJ, 146, 93 (Paper I)

Evans, N. R., Bond, H. E., Schaefer, G. H. et al. 2016a submitted (Paper II)

Evans, N. R., Bond, H. E., Schaefer, G. H. et al. 2016b in preparation (Paper III)

Feigelson, E. D., Getman, K., Townsley, L. 2005, etal. ApJS, 160, 379

Kouwenhoven, M. B. N., Goodwin, S. P., Parker, R. J., Davies, M. B., Malmberg, D., and Kroupa, P. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1835

Kraus, A. L., et al. 2012 AJ, 745, 19

Mason, B. D., Gies, D. R., Hartkops, W. I., et al 1998, AJ, 115, 821

Moe, M. and Di Stefano, R. 2015, ApJ, 801, 113

Molnar, L. and Szabados, L. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 3222

Parker, R. J. and Meyer, M. R. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 3722

Perets, H. B. and Kratter, K. M. 2012, ApJ, 760, 99

Pillitteri, I, Micela, G., Sciortino, S. , and Favata, F. 2003, A&A, 399. 919

Pillitteri, I., Evans, N. R., Wolk, S. J. and Syal, M. B. 2013, AJ, 145, 143

Raghavan, D., McAlister, H. A., Henry, T. J., et al. 2010, ApJS, 190, 1

Randich, S., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Prosser, C. F., and Stauffer, J. R. 1996, A&A, 305, 785

Reipurth, B. and Mikkola, S. 2012, Nature, 492, 221

Ruby, J., Engle, S. G., and Guinan, E. F. 2016, AAS#227

Sana, H. de Mink, S. E., de Koter, A., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2012, Sci., 337, 444

Sana, H., de Koter, A., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A107

Sana, H., Le Bouquin, J.-B., Lacour, S., et al, 2014, ApJS, 215, 15

Stelzer,B., Flaccomio, E., Montmerle, T. et al. 2005, ApJS, 160, 557

Sugars, B. J. A. and Evans, N. R. 1996, AJ, 112, 1670

Tokovinin, A., Thomas, S., Sterzik, M., and Udry, S. 2006, A&A, 450, 681

Tokovinin, A. 2014, AJ, 147, 87

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— a. (left) The *XMM* image of S Mus. The circles show the location of the Cepheid (black) and the possible companion (red). The orientation of both figures is the same with N up and E on the left. The scale is indicated by the 5″ line. A log stretch is used to emphasize faint features. Circle sizes in both a and b are arbitrary. b. (right) The *HST* WFC3 I image of S Mus. The possible companion is circled.



Fig. 2.— a. (left). The *XMM* image of R Cru with circles to show the locations of the Cepheid and two possible companions. b. (right) The *HST* WFC3 I image of R Cru. Both possible companions are circled. The image orientation and treatment are the same as for Figure 1.



Fig. 3.— a. (left). The *XMM* image of S Nor with circles to show the locations of the Cepheid and the possible companions. b. (right) The *HST* WFC3 I image of S Nor. Only one possible companion was detected in XMM image, the one the furthest to the W. The image orientation and treatment are the same as for Figure 1.

Fig. 4.— a. (left). The XMM image of V659 Cen with circles to show the locations of the Cepheid and five possible companions. b. (right) The HST WFC3 I image of V659 Cen. All possible companions are circled. The image orientation and treatment are the same as for Figure 1.



Fig. 5.— a. (left). The XMM image of V473 Lyr with circles to show the locations of the Cepheid and the possible companion. b. (right) The HST WFC3 I image of V473 Lyr. The possible companion is circled. The image orientation and treatment are the same as for Figure 1.



Fig. 6.— The spectrum of the S Mus source. Top: normalized counts: +; histogram spectral fit. Bottom: Differences between data and fit (normalized by the s.d. of the bin).



Fig. 7.— The separations from the Cepheids of the possible companions in Table 2. Those with possible X-ray detections are hatched. Among the detected sources, S Nor #4 is the star with a separation of 13300 AU, thought to be a cluster member. The two detected sources with the smallest separations (S Mus 3950 AU and R Cru 6330 AU) are both thought to come from closer companions than those in this figure. See text for discussion.

Table 1. *XMM* Observations

Star	Date & UT	Exp. MOS1 [ks]	$E(B-V)$	Distance [pc]	Filter
ℓ Car	2010-02-08 10:32:32	52.9	0.17	506	Thick
V659 Cen	2013-09-07 20:10:37	20.8	0.21	753	Medium
V737 Cen	2014-01-26 14:29:20	31.7	0.22	848	Medium
R Cru	2014-01-04 19:56:55	22.0	0.19	829	Medium
S Cru	2013-08-20 21:52:30	11.4	0.16	724	Medium
X Cyg	2013-04-26 02:46:47	30.9	0.29	981	Medium
V473 Lyr	2013-09-22 09:49:34	6.6	0.03	553	Medium
R Mus	2013-02-15 01:19:42	17.4	0.12	844	Medium
S Mus	2013-01-05 14:36:48	25.3	0.21	789	Medium
S Nor	2015-03-13 09:10:05	33.1	0.19	910	Medium
Y Oph	2012-09-12 06:52:49	9.5	0.65	510	Medium
V440 Per	2013-09-02 21:48:18	24.4	0.27	791	Medium
U Sgr	2006-10-11 23:29:34	29.5	0.40	617	Medium
Y Sgr	2013-09-29 04:56:27	13.2	0.20	505	Medium

Table 2. Candidate Resolved Companions

Companion No.	V	$V-I$	Sep. [arcsec]	PA [°]	Sep. [AU]	R.A. [J2000]	Dec. [J2000]	X-ray Source?
				ℓ Car				
1	15.21	1.09	19.1	9.7	9,660	09 45 15.3	−62 30 09.5	N
				X Cyg				
1	18.61	1.68	12.9	96.2	12,700	20 43 24.4	+35 35 28.8	N
2	16.33	1.36	14.8	298.3	14,500	20 43 23.5	+35 35 03.9	N
				V659 Cen				
1	15.87	1.20	22.1	335.3	16,600	13 31 32.1	−61 34 36.3	N
2	18.09	1.59	14.7	340.7	11,100	13 31 32.7	−61 34 42.6	N
3	16.57	1.27	20.2	238.5	15,200	13 31 30.9	−61 35 07.1	N
4	15.73	1.17	23.8	81.1	17,900	13 31 36.7	−61 34 52.8	N
5	17.59	1.47	17.0	58.6	12,800	13 31 35.4	−61 34 47.6	N
				V737 Cen				
1	17.22	1.61	7.3	294.9	6,190	14 37 11.0	−62 00 36.1	N
2	17.67	1.61	17.1	231.1	14,500	14 37 10.0	−62 00 49.9	N
				R Cru				
1	16.28	1.17	7.64	301.7	6,330	12 23 36.7	−61 37 41.1	?
2	17.94	1.45	23.92	199.5	19,800	12 23 36.5	-61 38 07.8	N
				S Cru				
1	16.59	1.58	13.8	70.4	9,990	12 54 23.6	−58 25 45.5	N
2	17.90	1.55	11.9	20.0	8,620	12 54 22.5	−58 25 39.0	N

Table 2—Continued

Companion No.	V	$V-I$	Sep. [arcsec]	PA [°]	Sep. [AU]	R.A. [J2000]	Dec. [J2000]	X-ray Source?
				V473 Lyr				
1	14.89	1.23	15.0	44.1	8,300	19 16 00.3	+27 55 45.2	N
				R Mus				
1	15.68	1.17	6.9	328.1	5,820	12 42 04.3	−69 24 21.5	N
				S Mus				
1	17.94	1.56	5.0	182.5	3,950	12 12 46.9	−70 09 11.3	?
				S Nor				
1	16.45	1.15	19.8	172.0	18,000	16 18 52.2	−57 54 19.3	N
2	16.32	1.20	20.1	188.5	18,300	16 18 51.5	−57 54 19.4	N
3	18.06	1.44	8.5	44.1	7,740	16 18 52.6	−57 53 53.5	N
4	13.95	0.90	14.6	288.9	13,300	16 18 50.1	−57 53 54.9	Y
5	18.00	1.52	15.0	1.1	13,600	16 18 51.9	−57 53 44.6	N
6	17.37	1.54	13.5	6.6	12,300	16 18 52.0	−57 53 46.2	N
				Y Oph				
1	17.13	2.00	18.1	211.9	9,230	17 52 38.1	−06 08 52.5	N
				V440				
1	15.72	1.21	10.9	305.2	8,620	02 23 50.7	+55 21 59.7	N
2	13.83	1.01	10.6	130.6	8,380	02 23 52.7	+55 21 46.5	N
				U Sgr				
1	16.31	1.52	19.4	18.1	12,000	18 31 53.8	−19 07 11.8	N

Table 2—Continued

Companion No.	V	$V-I$	Sep. [arcsec]	PA [°]	Sep. [AU]	R.A. [J2000]	Dec. [J2000]	X-ray Source?
2	17.42	1.95	13.9	126.7	8,580	18 31 54.1	−19 07 38.5	N
3	17.66	1.94	17.1	163.8	10,500	18 31 53.7	−19 07 46.7	N
				Y Sgr				
1	17.06	1.85	10.6	204.2	5,350	18 21 22.7	−18 51 45.7	N

Table 3. *XMM* Upper Limits of Candidate Companions

Cepheid	Companion No.	Upper Limit [ct ks^{-1}]	N_H [10^{21} cm^{-2}]	Flux [10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}]	$\log L_X$ [ergs s^{-1}]
ℓ Car	1	0.197	1.00	1.72	28.72
X Cyg	1	0.739	1.71	6.66	29.89
	2	0.797		7.18	29.92
V659 Cen	1	0.230	1.24	1.82	29.09
	2	0.238		1.89	29.11
	3	0.234		1.86	29.10
	4	0.229		1.82	29.09
	5	0.234		1.86	29.10
V737 Cen	1	0.358	1.30	2.89	29.40
	2	0.369		2.98	29.41
R Cru	2	0.428	1.12	3.28	29.43
S Cru	1	0.876	0.94	6.38	29.60
	2	0.867		6.31	29.60
V473 Lyr		2.35	0.18	16.1	29.76
R Mus	1	0.514	0.71	3.49	29.48
S Nor	1	0.340	1.12	2.61	29.41
	2	0.341		2.62	29.42
	3	0.359		2.75	29.44
	5	0.375		2.88	29.47
	6	0.374		2.87	29.46

Table 3—Continued

Cepheid	Companion No.	Upper Limit [ct ks^{-1}]	N_H [10^{21} cm^{-2}]	Flux [10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}]	$\log L_X$ [ergs s^{-1}]
Y Oph	1	0.420	3.83	6.31	29.29
V440 Per	1	0.231	1.49	1.97	29.17
	2	0.223		1.90	29.15
U Sgr	1	0.238	2.36	2.53	29.06
	2	0.229		2.44	29.05
	3	0.231		2.46	29.05
Y Sgr	1	0.747	1.18	5.83	29.25

Table 4. *XMM* Detections

Star	Source [ct ks^{-1}]	N_H [10^{21} cm^{-2}]	Flux [10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}]	$\log L_X$ [ergs s^{-1}]	Med E [keV]	Comments
V659 Cen	5.97	1.24	4.74	29.51	0.88	Cep? 0.″7 comp
R Cru	1.00	1.12	7.67	29.80	1.24	Cep? 1.″9 comp
V473 Lyr	3.61	0.18	20.5	29.88	0.66	Cep?
S Mus	4.36	1.24	34.6	30.46	0.93	Cep?
S Nor #4	1.32	1.12	10.1	30.00	0.95	